Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 1 of 44

#### **OPP OFFICIAL RECORD** HEALTH EFFECTS DIVISION **SCIENTIFIC DATA REVIEWS EPA SERIES 361**



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

#### **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:

April 14, 2004

SUBJECT:

Propiconazole Risk Assessments for the Section 18 Request for Control of

Soybean Rust

PC Code:

122101

DP Barcode: **262**299

296299

REVIEWER: J. R. Tomerlin, Ph.D., Plant Pathologist

Fungicides Branch/Registration Division (1505C)

THROUGH: Richard Loranger, Branch Senior Scientist

Registration Action Branch 1/Health Effects Division (75090

TO:

Andrew Ertman/Section 18 Team

Minor Use, Inerts and Emergency Response Branch

Registration Division (7505C)

#### **Executive Summary**

Assessments of human exposures and risks were conducted for acute and chronic dietary risk, exposure and risk to propiconazole residues in water, residential exposure and risk, aggregate risk, and exposure and risk to workers. The assessments were performed to support a Section 18 request from the States of Minnesota (04MN01) and South Dakota (04SD01) for use of propiconazole on soybeans to control soybean rust, caused by Phakopsora pachyrhizi. The residue of concern is propiconazole (1-[[2-2,4-dichlorophenyl]-4-propyl-1,3-dioxolan-2yl]methyl]-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole and its metabolites determined as 2,4-dichlorobenzoic acid. expressed as parent compound..

For purposes of this Section 18 petition, only parent propiconazole is being considered. The Agency does have concern about potential toxicity to 1,2,4-triazole and two conjugates, triazolylalanine and triazolyl acetic acid. These three compounds are metabolites to most of the triazole-containing fungicides. When suitable information about the toxicity of these compounds

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 2 of 44

is available, the Agency may revisit the risk issues.

# Acute Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

The results of the acute dietary exposure analysis are reported in Table 3. For acute dietary risk assessments, the Agency is concerned when dietary risk exceeds 100% of the aPAD. Given that estimated exposure at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile is less than 5% of the aPAD for all population groups, acute dietary risk is not an issue for the Section 18 request for the use of propiconazole on soybean.

# Chronic Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

The results of the chronic dietary exposure analysis are reported in the summary Table 3. For chronic dietary risk assessments, the Agency is concerned when dietary risk exceeds 100% of the cPAD. Given that mean estimated exposure is no more than 6% of the cPAD for all population groups, chronic dietary risk is not an issue for the Section 18 request for the use of propiconazole on soybean. Propiconazole has been classified as a possible human carcinogen, non-quantifiable. Consequently, the standard chronic dietary exposure analysis and risk assessment using the cPAD serves as the assessment for cancer. Since carcinogenic risk for propiconazole is addressed with the cPAD, cancer risk from the proposed use on soybeans is not expected to be of concern.

#### Risk from Residues in Water

The Agency used the First Index Reservoir Screening Tool (FIRST) to calculate estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) in surface water and the Screening Concentration in Ground Water (SCI-GROW) to calculate propiconazole EECs in ground water. Based on the FIRST model, the estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) of propiconazole in surface water are 264 ppb and 80 ppb for acute and chronic exposures, respectively. The EEC for both acute and chronic exposures is estimated as 1.5 ppb for ground water using the SCI-GROW model.

The assessments assumed use patterns of: 8 applications per year, 0.885 lb ai/A per application, and a 14-day interval. The proposed use pattern for propiconazole under the Section 18 request

<sup>&</sup>quot;Section 18 Ecological Risk and Drinking Water Exposure Assessment for the Control of Soybean Rust Using: Propiconazole (122101); DP 296314; Boscolid (128008): DP 296315; Pyraclostrobin (099100); DP 296317; Trifloxystrobin (129112); DP 296318; Myclobutanil (128857); DP 296319; Tebuconazole (128997): DP 296320." Memorandum From S. Abel, A Al-Mudallah, K. Costello, and T. Nguyen, March 30, 2004.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 3 of 44

is no more than 2 applications of 0.225 lb ai/A; the requested PHI is 28 days. Therefore, the assumptions under which the water EEC values were calculated lead to an overestimate of the potential residue concentration in water from the use of propiconazole on soybeans and the Agency does not expect that propiconazole residues in drinking water will exceed the levels estimated by the FIRST and SCI-GROW models.

# Residential Exposure and Risk

Propiconazole is a fungicide that can be used to control turfgrass diseases on residential lawns, sod farms and golf courses. There is potential, therefore, for dermal exposures to propiconazole residues on treated turf. Exposure was evaluated and MOEs ranged from 13000 to 60000 for adults and from 30000 to 150000 for children. Exposure and MOE varied according to the location of the study that generated the data used for the assessment, with the lowest MOE values being calculated from data obtained in a California study. The MOE for oral ingestion by children was 3000. The assessment is conservative because it assumes reentry immediately after the application of propiconazole at the highest recommended rate of 1.79 pounds ai per acre. A conservative estimate of acres treated with propiconazole is 18,000 based on the assumption that all of the propiconizole available for the consumer market is applied to lawns. There are approximately 30 million acres of lawns in the United States according to Kline and Company<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, less than 0.1 percent of the lawns are likely to be treated. It is unlikely that the maximum application rate would be used in a hot dry climate. Furthermore, the trial was conducted on three-month old stand of turf, too new for most established lawns in California. Based on these facts, plus the fact that the majority of use is likely to be in the Midwest and northeastern United States, the exposure scenario represented by the California data is assumed to be worst case and assumed to be an unlikely event. Nonetheless, aggregate exposure was estimated using the results from the California trial and is considered to be an overestimate of potential aggregate exposure and risk.

# Occupational Exposure and Risk

Based on the proposed use patterns in soybeans, occupational handlers are expected to have dermal and inhalation exposures. The lowest estimated MOE is 220 (combined dermal and inhalation) for mixer/loaders. Note that the combined MOE of 220 is achieved for workers without gloves. With gloves, the combined MOE for dermal and inhalation exposures for mixer/loaders is 4700. At this time, the Agency sees no reason to revise the current label instruction to wear gloves when mixing and loading propiconazole; however, wearing gloves is good industrial hygiene practice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Email from J. Evans (OPP/HED/CEB) to J. R. Tomerlin (OPP/RD/FB), 4/1/04.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 4 of 44

Because of the high interest in soybean rust and the need to scout fields to confirm its presence, the Agency conducted an assessment of post-application exposures for scouting activities. Post-application MOEs are 30000 for females and 90000 for the general population. Since the Agency's level of concern for propiconazole is for MOEs less than 100, potential risk for occupational post-application exposures is well below the Agency's level of concern.

# Aggregate Exposure and Risk

Aggregate exposure and risk assessments were performed for acute (food + drinking water), chronic (food + drinking water), and short-term (food + drinking water + residential) aggregate exposure. Acute aggregate risk estimates for adults and children do not exceed the Agency's level of concern. The drinking water levels of comparison (DWLOCs) are substantially greater than the acute drinking water EECs. The acute DWLOC range from 2900 to 10000 ppb. Compared to EFED's surface and ground water EECs, the DWLOC is considerably greater and therefore, acute aggregate risk is not expected to exceed the Agency's level of concern. Short-term exposure and risk for infants has a calculated DWLOC of 2600, which is greater than the EECs calculated by EFED and is not of concern to the Agency. The calculated chronic DWLOCs for chronic exposure to propiconazole in drinking water range from 940 to 3400 μg/L (ppb). EECs generated by EFED are less than the Agency's calculated chronic DWLOCs. Therefore, the chronic aggregate risk associated with the proposed use of propiconazole is not expected to exceed the Agency's level of concern for the general U.S. population or any population subgroups. As shown in this summary, the Agency does not have any concerns about aggregate exposure and risk from the use of propiconazole on soybeans to control soybean rust.

The aggregate exposure/risk assessments (acute, short-term, chronic and cancer) are considered conservative estimates, that are not expected to underestimate risks, and support time-limited tolerances of 0.5 ppm in soybeans, 8 ppm in soybean forage, and 25 ppm in soybean hay.

#### I. Introduction

The States of Minnesota (04MN01) and South Dakota (04SD01) have petitioned the Agency requesting an Emergency Exemption for propiconazole to control soybean rust under Section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). In conjunction with that petition, the petitioners have requested the establishment of temporary tolerances for residues of propiconazole on soybeans.

Although *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*, the soybean rust pathogen, has not yet been identified in the continental United States, it has been detected in South America. As a designated biosecurity threat, it is important that control measures be available if soybean rust is identified in the United States. Under the proposed use, soybeans could be treated upon the official confirmed identification of soybean rust in the United States.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 5 of 44

#### II. Residue Information

# Propiconazole Registrations:

Tolerances listed in 40 CFR § 180.434 were used for all assessments of dietary risk, both acute and chronic. The residue of concern is parent propiconazole and metabolites containing the 2,4-dichlorophenyl moiety<sup>3</sup>, as concluded by the Metabolism Assessment Review Committee (MARC). The MARC further stated that "... issues concerning metabolites of propiconazole containing only the triazole ring (free triazole and conjugates of free triazole) are expected to be addressed through separate deliberations... The Committee believes these issues may be handled separately without conflict of outcomes."

The existing tolerances are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Tolerances for Propiconazole (40 CFR § 180.434)						
Commodity	Tolerance (ppm)					
Crops						
Banana	0.2					
Barley, grain	0.1					
Celery	5.0					
Corn, field, grain	0.1					
Corn, sweet, kernel plus cob with husks removed	0.1					
Cranberry - expires 12/31/05	1.0					
Dry bean - expires 12/31/05	0.5					
Egg	0.1					
Fruit, stone, group 12	1.0					
Milk	0.05					
Mint, tops (leaves and stems) - regional registration	0.3					
Mushroom	0.1					
Oat, grain	0.1					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Propiconazole (122101): Results of the HED Metabolism Assessment Review Committee (MARC) Meetings Held on 19-December-2001 and 08-January-2002, TXR# 0050349, April 4, 2002.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 6 of 44

Table 1: Tolerances for Propiconazole (40 CFR § 180.434)					
Commodity	Tolerance (ppm)				
Peanut	0.2				
Pecans	0.1				
Pineapple	0.1				
Plum, prune, fresh	1.0				
Rice, grain	0.1				
Rye, grain	0.1				
Sorghum, grain - expires 6/30/05	0.2				
Wheat, grain	0.1				
Wild rice - regional registration	0.5				
Edible Animal Tissues					
Fat of cattle, goat, hog, horse and sheep	0.1				
Kidney and liver of cattle, goat, hog, horse and sheep	2.0				
Meat byproducts (except kidney and liver) of cattle, goat, hog, horse and sheep	0.1				
Meat of cattle, goat, hog, horse and sheep	0.1				
Kidney and liver of poultry	0.2				
Meat byproducts (except kidney and liver) of poultry	0.1				
Meat of poultry	0.1				
NOTE: Tolerances in animal feedstuffs (e.g., barley straw) as tolerance summary	re not included in this				

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 7 of 44

# Propiconazole on Soybean:

Data from residue field trials were available for estimating residues in soybean<sup>4</sup>. Plots were treated with two applications of 75 g a.i./A, 150 g a.i./A or 225 g a.i./A. The Section 18 request from Minnesota and South Dakota requests up to two applications of 1.8 oz (51 g) to 3.6 oz (102 g) a.i. per acre<sup>5</sup>. Regarding the potential impact of the soybean use on secondary residues in edible animal tissues, the Agency previously determined that residues on soybeans would not change tolerances in livestock from existing levels<sup>6</sup>. Soybean residue data from the 75 g a.i./A trial (2 applications) support tolerances of:

Soybean

0.5 ppm

Soybean forage

8 ppm

Soybean hay

25 ppm

Note that the Section 18 requests includes a 28-day PHI. The available field trials applied propiconazole at growth stage R3 (beginning pod), with a second application approximately 21 days later at growth stage R5 (pod fill). The resulting PHIs from this treatment regime ranged from 46 to 99 days after the last treatment. Therefore, the use directions should specify the soybean growth stages - R3 and R5 - at which propiconazole may be applied.

Therefore, the residues used in the Section 18 risk assessment were tolerances as specified in 40 CFR § 180.434 (summarized in Table 1) and 0.5 ppm in soybeans. Both the acute and chronic risk assessments assumed tolerance level residues as described above and 100% crop treated. The residue file for the assessments is shown in Attachment 1. The standard enforcement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Smith, J. W., 1994, "Magnitude of Residues of Propiconazole (Tilt®) in or on Soybean Beans, Fodder, Forage, and Hay Following Application of Tilt® 3.6E. Ciba-Geigy Report ABR-94013. MRID# 43386502.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The original Section 18 request was for 1.8 oz a.i./A. However, a revised application rate was received by the Agency on January 30, 2004 requesting up to two applications at rates ranging from 1.8 to 3.6 oz a.i./A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>"PP5F04424 & ID#000100-00618 CGA-64250 Technical: Propiconazole in/on Dry Beans and Soybeans. Evaluation of Residue Data and Analytical Methodology. CBTS #s 14859 & 14860; DP Barcode #s D210266 & D210295; Case #s 286012 & 037683; MRID #s 433865-00, 433865-01, & 433865-02." Memorandum from M. I. Rodriguez to D. L. McCall and S. Robbins, March 5, 1997.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 8 of 44

analytical method<sup>7</sup> is capable of detecting propiconazole residues in soybeans.

# III. DEEM-FCID<sup>TM</sup> Program and Consumption Information

Propiconazole acute and chronic dietary exposure assessments were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model software with the Food Commodity Intake Database (DEEM-FCID<sup>TM</sup>, Version 1.3), which incorporates consumption data from USDA's Continuing Surveys of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII), 1994-1996 and 1998. The 1994-96, 98 data are based on the reported consumption of more than 20,000 individuals over two non-consecutive survey days. Foods "as consumed" (e.g., apple pie) are linked to EPA-defined food commodities (e.g. apples, peeled fruit - cooked; fresh or N/S; baked; or wheat flour - cooked; fresh or N/S, baked) using publicly available recipe translation files developed jointly by USDA/ARS and EPA. For chronic exposure assessment, consumption data are averaged for the entire U.S. population and within population subgroups, but for acute exposure assessment are retained as individual consumption events. Based on analysis of the 1994-96, 98 CSFII consumption data, which took into account dietary patterns and survey respondents, the Agency concluded that it is most appropriate to report risk for the following population subgroups: the general U.S. population, all infants (<1 year old), children 1-2, children 3-5, children 6-12, youth 13-19, adults 20-49, females 13-49, and adults 50+ years old.

For chronic dietary exposure assessment, an estimate of the residue level in each food or food-form (e.g., orange or orange juice) on the food commodity residue list is multiplied by the average daily consumption estimate for that food/food form. The resulting residue consumption estimate for each food/food form is summed with the residue consumption estimates for all other food/food forms on the commodity residue list to arrive at the total average estimated exposure. Exposure is expressed in mg/kg body weight/day and as a percent of the cPAD. This procedure is performed for each population subgroup.

Dietary risk assessment incorporates both exposure and toxicity of a given pesticide. For acute and chronic assessments, the risk is expressed as a percentage of a maximum acceptable dose (i.e., the dose which HED has concluded will result in no unreasonable adverse health effects). This dose is referred to as the population adjusted dose (PAD). The PAD is equivalent to the Reference Dose (RfD) divided by the special FQPA Safety Factor.

For acute and non-cancer chronic exposures, HED is concerned when estimated dietary risk

Lin, K. (1997) Determination of Total Residues of Propiconazole in Crops as 2,4-Dichlorobenzoic Acid Methyl Ester by Capillary Gas Chromatography: Lab Project Number: AG-626: 571-97: 411925. Unpublished study prepared by Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. 45 p. [OPPTS 860.1340, MRID #44411201]

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 9 of 44

exceeds 100% of the PAD. HED is generally concerned when estimated cancer risk exceeds one in one million (i.e., the risk exceeds 1 x 10<sup>-6</sup>). References which discuss the acute and chronic risk assessments in more detail are available on the EPA/pesticides web site: "Available Information on Assessing Exposure from Pesticides, A User's Guide," 6/21/2000, web link: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-PEST/2000/July/Day-12/6061.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-PEST/2000/July/Day-12/6061.pdf</a>; or see SOP 99.6 (8/20/99).

For acute exposure assessments, individual one-day food consumption data are used on an individual-by-individual basis. The reported consumption amounts of each food item can be multiplied by a residue point estimate and summed to obtain a total daily pesticide exposure for a deterministic exposure assessment, or "matched" in multiple random pairings with residue values and then summed in a probabilistic assessment. The resulting distribution of exposures is expressed as a percentage of the aPAD on both a user (i.e., those who reported eating relevant commodities/food forms) and a per-capita (i.e., those who reported eating the relevant commodities as well as those who did not) basis. In accordance with Agency policy, per capita exposure and risk are reported for all tiers of analysis. However, for tiers 1 and 2, significant differences in user vs. per capita exposure and risk are identified and noted in the risk assessment.

#### IV. Toxicological Information

The HIARC met on 9 December 2003 to determine endpoint selection for propiconazole. At this meeting, the HIARC also reassessed FQPA requirements in response to questions posed by the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC). No new data have been reviewed and no changes were made to the toxicology endpoints previously selected for propiconazole (with the exception of the FQPA safety factor applied). The HED HIARC re-evaluated the short-term dermal endpoint to address the appropriate populations of concern; the report of this meeting revises the previous HIARC report dated March 26, 2003 (HED DOC. NO. 0051703). The 3<sup>rd</sup> HIARC for propiconazole confirmed the conclusions of the HED Carcinogenicity Peer Review Committee (CPRC) of, April 15, 1992 (HED Doc. No. 009771): propiconazole was classified as a Group C - possible human carcinogen and recommended that for the purpose of risk characterization the reference Dose (RfD) approach should be used for quantification of human risk. However, it should be noted that present policy is to refer to such chemicals as a "possible human carcinogen, non-quantifiable."

The toxicity endpoints pertinent for human risk assessment are summarized in Table 2.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 10 of 44

Table 2. Summary of Toxicological Doses and Endpoints for Propiconazole for Use in Human Health Risk Assessment					
Exposure Scenario	Dose Used in Risk Assessment, UF	Hazard and Exposure Based Special FQPA Safety Factor	Study and Toxicological Effects		
Acute Dietary (Females 13- 50)	NOAEL = 30 mg/kg/day UF =300 Acute RfD = 0.1 mg/kg/day	FQPA SF = 1X aPAD =acute RfD = 0.1 mg/kg/day	Developmental Toxicity Study - Rats.  LOAEL = 90 mg/kg/day based on developmental toxicity manifested by increased incidence of rudimentary ribs, cleft palate malformations (0.3%) unossified sternebrae, as well as increased incidence of shortened and absent renal papillae.		
Acute Dietary (General Population)	NOAEL = 90 mg/kg/day UF =300 Acute RfD = 0.3 mg/kg/day	FQPA SF = 1X aPAD =acute RfD = 0.3 mg/kg/day	Developmental Toxicity Study - Rats.  LOAEL = 300 mg/kg/day based on developmental toxicity manifested by severe maternal toxicity: ataxia, coma, lethargy, prostration, audible and labored respiration, salivation and lacrimation		
Chronic Dietary (All populations)	NOAEL= 10 mg/kg/day UF = 100 Chronic RfD = 0.1 mg/kg/day	FQPA SF = 1X cPAD =chronic RfD = 0.1 mg/kg/day	24 Month Oncogenicity Study - Mice.  LOAEL = 50 mg/kg/day based on liver toxicity (increased liver weight in males and increase in liver lesions (masses/raised areas/ swell- ings/nodular areas mainly)		

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 11 of 44

Table	Table 2. Summary of Toxicological Doses and Endpoints for Propiconazole for Use in Human Health Risk Assessment					
Exposure Scenario	Dose Used in Risk Assessment, UF	Hazard and Exposure Based Special FQPA Safety Factor	Study and Toxicological Effects			
Short Term (1-30 days) Incidental Oral	Maternal NOAEL = 90 mg ai/kg/day	Residential MOE =300 Occupational = NA	Developmental Toxicity Study - Rats. LOAEL = 360 mg/kg/day based on severe clinical signs			
Intermediate Term (1-6 months) Incidental Oral	NOAEL = 10 mg ai/kg/day	Residential MOE = 100 Occupational = NA	24 Month Oncogenicity Study - Mice.  LOAEL = 50 mg/kg/day based on liver toxicity (increased liver weight in males and increase in liver lesions (masses/raised areas/swellings/nodular areas mainly)			
Short Term (1-30 days) Dermal (Females 13-50 years old)	Oral Developmental NOAEL = 30 mg ai/kg/day Dermal absorption rate <sup>1</sup> = 1%	Residential MOE = 300 Occupational MOE = 100	Developmental Toxicity Study - Rats. LOAEL = 90 mg/kg/day based on developmental toxicity: increased incidence of rudimentary ribs, unossified sternebrae, and shortened and absent renal papillae.			
Short Term (1-30 days) Dermal (General Populations, including infants and children)	Oral Maternal NOAEL = 90 mg ai/kg/day Dermal absorption rate <sup>1</sup> = 1%)	Residential MOE = 300 Occupational MOE = 100	Developmental Toxicity Study - Rats.  LOAEL = 300 mg/kg/day based on severe maternal clinical toxicity (ataxia, coma, lethargy, prostration, audible and labored respiration, salivation and lacrimation)			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 12 of 44

Exposure	Dose Used in Risk	Hazard and Exposure Based	Study and Toxicological
Scenario	Assessment, UF	Special FQPA Safety Factor	Effects
Intermediate Term (1-6 months) and Long Term Dermal (> 6 months)	Oral NOAEL = 10 mg ai/kg/day (Dermal absorption rate <sup>1</sup> = 1%)	Residential MOE = 100 Occupational MOE = 100	24 Month Oncogenicity Study - Mice. LOAEL = 50 mg/kg/day based on liver toxicity (increased liver weight in males and increase in liver lesions (mass- es/raised areas/swellings /nodular areas mainly)
Short Term (1-30 Days). Inhalation	Oral Developmental NOAEL = 30 mg/kg/day (Inhalation absorption rate = 100%)	Residential MOE = 300 Occupational MOE = 100	Developmental Toxicity Study - Rats.  LOAEL = 90 mg/kg/day based on developmental toxicity manifested by increased incidence of rudimentary ribs, unossified sternebrae, as well as increased incidence of shortened and absent renal papillae.
Intermediate Term (1-6 months) and Long Term Inhalation (> 6 months)	Oral NOAEL = 10 mg/kg/day (Inhalation absorption rate = 100%)	Residential MOE = 100 Occupational MOE = 100	24 Month Oncogenicity Study - Mice. LOAEL = 50 mg/kg/day based on liver toxicity (increased liver weight in males and increase in liver lesions (masses/raised areas/swell- ings/nodular areas mainly)
Cancer	Group C - possible human	carcinogen, non-quar	ntifiable

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 13 of 44

#### V. Results/Discussion

# Results of Acute Dietary Exposure Analysis

The acute assessment was a Tier I assessment using tolerances as listed in 40 CFR § 180.434 and the DEEM-FCID<sup>TM</sup> program. One hundred percent crop treated was assumed for all commodities. Default processing factors from DEEM were used for processed commodities when available. The residue file used for both the acute and chronic assessments is shown in Attachment 1. The resulting exposure estimates were compared to the acute population adjusted dose (aPAD) for propiconazole of 0.1 mg/kg bw/day for women aged 13 to 49 and 0.3 mg/kg bw/day for the general US population<sup>8</sup>. This assessment should be considered conservative and unrefined in that tolerance level residues were used for all food commodities and there was no adjustment made for the percent of the crop that is treated.

The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the acute exposure distribution is the appropriate point at which to evaluate risk in a Tier I assessment. The results of the acute exposure analysis show that for the overall U.S. population, estimated exposure at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the exposure distribution is 0.005540 mg/kg bw/day, equivalent to 2% of the aPAD. The most highly exposed population group is All Infants <1 year old, with an estimated exposure at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of 0.013057 mg/kg bw/day, equivalent to 4% of the aPAD. The estimated 95<sup>th</sup> percentile exposure for females 13-49 years of age is 0.003854 mg/kg bw/day, equivalent to 4% of the aPAD. The smaller exposure estimate for females results in a larger percentage of the aPAD because the appropriate aPAD for females is 3-fold lower than that for the general population. Acute exposure is summarized in Table 3, and the complete exposure distributions are provided in Attachment 2.

# Results of Chronic Dietary Exposure Analysis

The chronic dietary exposure assessment also used tolerance level residues as listed in 40 CFR § 180.434 and the chronic analysis module of the DEEM-FCID<sup>TM</sup> software. As with the acute assessment, default DEEM processing factors were used, and no adjustments were made for percent crop treated.

Estimated exposure for the total U.S. Population was 0.001984 mg/kg bw/day, equivalent to 2% of the cPAD of 0.1 mg/kg bw/day. The two most highly exposed population sub-groups, children 1-2 and children 3-5, had estimated exposures of 0.005877 and 0.004615 mg/kg bw/day, respectively. These exposures were equivalent to 6% and 5% of the cPAD. Chronic exposure risk is shown in Table 3, and results for all population sub-groups are shown in Attachment 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>"PROPICONAZOLE - 3<sup>rd</sup> Report of the Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee," December 17, 2003, TXR NO. 0052277

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 14 of 44

Propiconazole has been classified as a possible human carcinogen, non-quantifiable. As such, the cancer risk assessment for propiconazole is evaluated using the standard cPAD; the use of propiconazole on soybeans is not expected to result in unacceptable cancer risks.

Table 3. Summary of Dietary Exposure and Risk for Propiconazole						
Donulation	Acute Di (95 <sup>th</sup> Perce		Chronic Dietary			
Population Subgroup	Dietary Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% aPAD	Dietary Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% cPAD		
General U.S. Population	0.005540	2	0.001984	2		
All Infants (< 1 year old)	0.013057	4	0.003736	4		
Children 1-2 years old	0.012848	4	0.005877	6		
Children 3-5 years old	0.010216	4	0.004615	5		
Children 6-12 years old	0.006745	2	0.003007	3		
Youth 13-19 years old	0.003983	1	0.001728	2		
Adults 20-49 years old	0.003809	1	0.001478	2		
Adults 50+ years old	0.004062	1	0.001494	2		
Females 13-49 years old	0.003854	4	0.001437	1		

# Water Exposure and Risk

There are no health advisory levels or Maximum Contaminant Levels established for residues of propiconazole in drinking water. The Agency used the First Index Reservoir Screening Tool

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 15 of 44

(FIRST) and the Screening Concentration in Ground Water (SCI-GROW) screening models to determine the Estimated Environmental Concentrations (EECs) of propiconazole in surface and ground water, respectively. Based on the FIRST and SCI-GROW models the estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) of propiconazole for acute exposures are 264 parts per billion (ppb) for surface water and 1.5 ppb for ground water. The EECs for chronic exposures are 80 ppb for surface water and 1.5 ppb for ground water.

A drinking water level of comparison (DWLOC) is a theoretical upper limit on a pesticide's concentration in drinking water in light of total aggregate exposure to a pesticide in food and residential uses. A DWLOC will vary depending on the toxic endpoint, drinking water consumption, body weights, and pesticide uses. Different populations will have different DWLOCs. The Agency uses DWLOCs in the risk assessment process to assess potential concern for exposure associated with pesticides in drinking water. DWLOC values are <u>not</u> regulatory standards for drinking water.

#### Residential Risks

Propiconazole is a fungicide used to control turfgrass diseases on residential lawns, sod farms and golf courses. Label directions indicate that spray applications ranging from ~0.25 to 1.79 pounds active ingredient (a.i.) per acre are made to these sites as part of a preventative disease control program. Syngenta has submitted a report: Determination of Transferable Turf Residues on Turf Treated with Banner MAXX (propiconazole) to support the registration of propiconazole for use on turf and for use in residential risk assessments [MRID 452886-01]. In the study, propiconazole was applied to turfgrass plots located in three geographic locations (Indiana, Pennsylvania and California) at the maximum rate of 1.79 pounds ai. Turf transferable residues (TTR) were collected following the applications at intervals of 0, 4, 8 and 24 hours after application and 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 14, and 21 days after application using the modified California roller technique. The respective half lives of propiconazole measured in Indiana, Pennsylvania and California are 2.2 days, 1.9 days and 1.3 days.

Note that the residential risk assessment was provided by J. Evans of OPP/HED/CEB, as previously cited (page 3).

#### Dermal Exposure and Risk

Syngenta selected the geographic locations of Indiana and Pennsylvania because these areas are representative of the product use profile of propiconazole; the midwest and northeastern areas of the United States. California was selected as a worst case area represented by hot dry conditions that make turf disease pressure less likely than the midwest and northeastern sites. The turfgrass grown in the study sites of Indiana and Pennsylvania was well established ranging 3 to 7 years while the site in California was characterized as being established for approximately 3 months.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 16 of 44

The lack of thatch in the turfgrass at the California site may have impacted the TTR data at that site. The following table presents the residue measurements for the three sites at "time zero" defined as: as soon as sprays have dried. In the table, the percent of the application rate available as transferable residue (transfer efficiency) at time zero is also presented.

Study Location	Time Zero Residue (μg/cm²)	Percent of Application Rate*	
Indiana	0.018	0.089	
Pennsylvania	0.050	0.25	
California	0.108	0.53	

There are various techniques used to measure turf transferable residues (TTR) all of which have varying transfer efficiencies. The transfer efficiency of the modified California roller is less than 1 percent. This value is below the lower limit of compatibility with Agency transfer coefficients for chemicals applied to turfgrass, as delineated in Exposure SAC policy 12. An appropriate study for use with TTR data generated using the modified California roller is presented in the Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force Study entitled: The ORETF Algorithm for Defining the Relationship of Transferable Turf Residues to Post-Application Dermal Exposure [MRID461905-01]. In that study, post application exposure to a turfgrass pesticide was measured using passive dosimetry and TTR data generated using the modified California roller. The efficiency of the TTR measurement in the ORETF study was 0.5% making it appropriate for use with the data presented in the above table. A preliminary review of these data suggest a transfer coefficient of 70,000 cm²/hour representing an adult wearing short pants, a short sleeved shirt and enclosed footwear. This value is based on measurements of volunteers performing post application activities on turf (e.g., crawling, touch football, soccer) as soon as the pesticide dried. This transfer coefficient will be used with the time zero residue data presented above.

The Agency considered two recent dermal absorption studies supplied by the registrant (Study #044AM01 and study #044AM02). In study 044AM01, the *in vivo* dermal absorption in rats was approximately 12%, 17%, and 7% at the low, medium and high doses, respectively. In an *in vitro* study (Study #044AM02), the factor of difference (dermal absorption<sub>RAT</sub>/dermal absorption<sub>HUMAN</sub>) was 9.5, 18.6 and 10.9 at the low, medium, and high doses, respectively. The highest estimated dermal absorption coefficient was approximately 1% at the low dose. Thus, 1% dermal absorption was assumed in the dermal risk calculations. Note that information about the dermal absorption coefficient was provided by J. Evans of OPP/HED/CEB, as cited

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 17 of 44

previously (Footnote to Table 2).

Post application dermal exposure to turfgrass chemicals is estimated using the following algorithm:

TTR (μg/cm²) \* Trans Co. (cm²/hour) \* hours on turf \* Dermal absorption \* 1 mg/1000 ug Body weight (kg)

For adults, a body weight of 60 kg was selected because a developmental endpoint was selected (30 mg/kg/day). A two hour duration on turfgrass will be used be used in this assessment representing the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of time spent on lawns. This two hour value is based on human activity pattern data presented in the Agency's Exposure Factors Handbook.

### For example:

- TTR =  $0.02 \mu g/cm^2$  (for Indiana)
- Trans. Co. =  $70000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{hour}$
- Hours on turf = 2 hours/day
- Dermal absorption = 0.01
- Body weight = 60 kg

so that the calculation becomes:

Dermal Exposure  $= 0.02 \,\mu\text{g/cm}^2 * 70000 \,\text{cm}^2/\text{hour} * 2 \,\text{hours/day} * 0.01 * 1 \,\text{mg/1000 ug}$   $= 60 \,\text{kg}$  $\approx 0.0005 \,\text{mg/kg/day}$ 

The MOE, then, is NOAEL (mg/kg/day) ÷ Dermal exposure (mg/kg/day). In the example:

MOE = 
$$30 \text{ mg/kg/day} \div 0.0005 \text{ mg/kg/day}$$
  
=  $60000$ 

The time zero dermal exposure values for adult females reentering treated lawns based on the data in Table 4 and the rationale above are as follows:

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 18 of 44

Table 5. Adult Dermal Exposure and Risk Summary for Turf					
Study Location	Time Zero Residue (μg/cm²)	Adult Dermal Exposure (mg/kg/day)	Margin of Exposure		
Indiana	0.02	0.0005	60000		
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.0012	25000		
California	0.1	0.0023	13000		

For children, the transfer coefficient is adjusted for a surface area of 6000 cm<sup>2</sup>/hour from an adult surface area of 1.8 m<sup>2</sup>. This transfer coefficient is 23,000 cm<sup>2</sup>. The body weight is 15 kg. The time zero exposure values for children reentering treated lawns based on the are as follows:

Study Location	Time Zero Residue (µg/cm²)	Child Dermal Exposure (mg/kg/day)	ure   Margin of Exposure	
Indiana	0.02	0.0006	150000	
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.0015	60000	
California	0.1	0.003	30000	

### Non-dietary Ingestion Exposure From Treated Turf

Non-dietary ingestion exposure levels from turf were calculated using the following equations. These values were then used to calculate MOEs as illustrated above. The following illustrates the approach used to calculate the non-dietary ingestion exposures that are attributable to hand-to-mouth behavior on treated turf (SOP 2.3.2):

$$D = (TTR * (SE/100) * SA * Freq * Hr * (1mg/1000 \mu g))$$

where:

D = dose from hand-to-mouth activity (mg/day);

TTR = Turf Transferable Residue where dissipation is based on TTR

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 19 of 44

study and the 0-day value is based on the 5% initial transferability factor (µg/cm²);

SE = saliva extraction factor (50%);

SA = surface area of the hands (20 cm²);

Freq = frequency of hand-to-mouth events (20 events/hour); and

Hr = exposure duration (2 hours).

Where, hand-to-mouth exposures are based on a frequency of 20 events/hour and a surface area per event of 20 cm<sup>2</sup> representing the palmar surfaces of three fingers; and

Saliva extraction efficiency is 50 percent meaning that every time the hand goes in the mouth approximately ½ of the residues on the hand are removed.

The point of departure for comparing non-dietary ingestion exposure is 90 mg/kg/day and is based on a developmental study. The non-dietary estimate is 0.03 mg/kg/day and the MOE is 3000.

To evaluate potential to children who are exposed by both skin contact and incidental oral ingestion, the Agency calculated the MOE for combined exposure as:

 $1/(1/MOE_{DERMAL} + 1/MOE_{ORAL})$ ; note, the MOE for dermal exposure was derived from the California study.

So, 
$$MOE_{COMBINED}$$
 = 1 / (1/30000 + 1/3000)  
= 2700

Therefore, the Agency does not have any concerns for infant's combined dermal and incidental oral ingestion.

The dermal assessments are conservative because they assume reentry immediately after the application of propiconazole at the highest recommended rate of 1.79 pounds ai per acre. A conservative estimate of acres treated with propiconazole is 18,000 based on the assumption that all of the propiconazole available for the consumer market is applied to lawns. There are approximately 30 million acres of lawns in the United States according to Kline and Company. Therefore, less than 0.1 percent of the lawns are likely to be treated. That, coupled with the fact that the majority of use is likely to be in the midwest and northeastern United States, the exposure scenario represented by the California data is assumed to be worst case and assumed to be an unlikely event. Therefore, the Agency considers that exposures in excess of those calculated are unlikely.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 20 of 44

#### Occupational Exposure

It was necessary to conduct an assessment of worker exposure and risks to propiconazole. The toxicity information was taken from "Memo, J. Kidwell, TXR NO 0052277, PROPICONAZOLE - 3<sup>rd</sup> Report of the Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee, 17 DEC 2003." A short-term (1 - 30 days) dermal point of departure (NOAEL 30 mg a.i./kg bw/day) was identified from a rat developmental toxicity study. The effects seen were increased incidence of rudimentary ribs, cleft palate malformations, unossified sternebrae, as well as increased incidence of shortened and absent renal papillae. A dermal absorption factor of 1% was identified (J. Evans email previously cited). A short-term inhalation NOAEL (30 mg a.i./kg bw/day) was identified from the same study as the dermal NOAELs and the same effects listed.

#### ADD for propiconazole =

Unit Exposure \* Application Rate \* Units Treated \* 1 % dermal absorption ÷ 60 kg bw (Inhalation = 100 % absorption)

Since the dermal and inhalation toxicological effects are the same and identified from the same study, the exposures are summed then divided into the NOAEL. The occupational risk assessment is summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Summary of Exposures and Risks to Occupational Pesticide Handlers to Propiconazole Used to Control Soybean Rust							
Unit Exposure <sup>1</sup> mg/lb ai handled	Application Rate <sup>2</sup> lb ai/Acre	Units Treated <sup>3</sup> Acres/Day	Average Daily Dose <sup>4</sup> mg a.i./kg bw/day	NOAEL <sup>5</sup> mg a.i./kg bw/day	MOE <sup>6</sup>		
Mixer/Loader - Liquid - Open Loading							
Dermal: SLNG 2.9 HC SLWG 0.023 HC Inhal 0.0012HC	3.6 oz ai/A 0.225 lb ai/A	1200 A	Dermal: SLNG 0.13 SLWG 0.001 Inhalation 0.0054	Dermal (1 % D.A.) 30 Inhalation 30.0	SLNG 220 SLWG 4700		
	Applicator	- Ground-t	oom - Open Ca	ab			
Dermal: SLNG 0.014 HC SLWG 0.014 MC Inhal 0.00074HC	3.6 oz ai/A 0.225 lb ai/A	200 A	Dermal SLNG 0.0001 SLWG 0.0001 Inhalation 0.00056	Dermal 30 (1 % D.A.) Inhalation 30.0	SLNG 45000 SLWG 45000		
	Applica	tor - Aerial	- Fixed Wing				

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 21 of 44

Table 7. Summary of Exposures and Risks to Occupational Pesticide Handlers to Propiconazole Used to Control Soybean Rust						
Dermal: SLNG 0.0050 MC	3.6 oz ai/A 0.225 lb ai/A	1200 A	Dermal SLNG 0.00023	Dermal (1 % D.A.) F 13-50 30	F 13-50 56000 Gen Pop 170000	
Inhal 0.000068 MC			Inhalation 0.00031	Gen Pop 90 Inhalation 30.0		

- 1. Unit Exposure = mg a.i./lb a.i. handled from PHED SURROGATE EXPOSURE GUIDE Estimates of Worker Exposure from the Pesticide Handler Exposure Database Version 1.1, August 1998. Dermal: SLNG = a Single Layer of work clothing (i.e., long pants, long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks) and No protective gloves. SLWG = a single layer of work clothing and the use of protective gloves (i.e., with gloves). Inhal = Inhalation exposure. HC and MC are data quality descriptors: High Confidence and Medium Confidence, respectively.
- 2. Application Rate taken from Section 18 Request, Corresp. J. Sierk Minnesota Dept. Agricult. to D. Rosenblatt, 30 January 2004.
- 3. Units Treated taken from Science Advisory Council for Exposure, Standard Operating Procedure 9.1, Standard Values for Daily Acres Treated in Agriculture, Rev. 25 SEP 2001.
- 4. Average Daily Dose (ADD) is derived by: (See "Discussion" listed by compound). Unit Exposure \* Application Rate \* Units Treated \* Absorption Factor (when applicable) ÷ Body Weight.

NOTE a) Dermal absorption is not corrected if the toxicological endpoints were identified from a dermal toxicity study. Otherwise, the dermal absorption factor is utilized as identified by the HED HIARC. Inhalation absorption is assumed to be 100 %.

NOTE b) Body weight is assumed to be 70 kg unless the toxicological endpoints (NOAEL) are identified from a developmental study which showed fetal effects. Then, 60 kg bw is used.

- 5. No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) (mg a.i./kg bw/day) are taken from the HED Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee (HIARC) for each compound discussed herein.
- 6. Margin Of Exposure (MOE) = NOAEL (mg a.i./kg bw/day) ÷ ADD (mg a.i./kg bw/day).

There is a potential for agricultural workers to have post-application exposure to pesticides during the course of typical agricultural activities. HED in conjunction with the Agricultural Reentry Task Force (ARTF) has identified a number of post-application agricultural activities that may occur. HED has also identified Transfer Coefficients (TC) (expressed as cm²/hr) relative to the various activities.

The transfer coefficients used in this assessment are from an interim transfer coefficient SOP developed by HED's Science Advisory Council for Exposure using proprietary data from the Agricultural Re-Entry Task Force (ARTF) database (SOP # 3.1). It is the intention of HED's

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 22 of 44

Science Advisory Council for Exposure that this SOP will be periodically updated to incorporate additional information about agricultural practices in crops and new data on transfer coefficients. Much of this information will originate from exposure studies currently being conducted by the ARTF, from further analysis of studies already submitted to the Agency, and from studies in the published scientific literature.

For the proposed use, the activity with the highest TC is scouting the crop in full foliage stages of crop development with a TC of 1,500 cm<sup>2</sup>/hr.

Lacking compound specific data, the Agency assumes 20 % of the application rate is available as foliar dislodgeable residue on day zero after application. This is adapted from the Science Advisory Council For Exposure SOP No. 003 (7 May 1998 - Revised 7 August 2000). The following convention may be used to estimate post-application exposure.

```
Surrogate Dislodgeable Foliar Residue DFR = application rate * 20% available as dislodgeable residue * (1-D)^t * 4.54 \times 10^8 \mu g/lb * 2.47 \times 10^{-8} \text{ A/cm}^2
```

Note: the term (1-D)<sup>t</sup> describes the fraction of the residue remaining after "t" days.

```
and the Average Daily Dose (ADD) = DFR \mug/cm<sup>2</sup> * TC cm<sup>2</sup>/hr * hr/day * 0.001 mg/\mug * 1/70 kg bw
```

```
For propiconazole, the calculations are:
```

```
DFR = 0.225 lb a.i./A * .20 * (1-0)^0 * 4.54 \times 10^8 \mu g/lb * 2.47 \times 10^{-8} \text{ A/cm}^2
= 0.5 \mu g/cm^2
```

```
ADD = 0.5 \mu g/cm^2 * 1,500 cm^2/hr * 8 hr/day * 0.001 mg/\mu g * 1 % dermal absorption * 1/60 kg bw = <math>0.001 mg/kg bw/day
```

```
Since MOE = NOAEL ÷ ADD then 30 mg/kg bw/day ÷ 0.001 mg/kg bw/day = 30000 for females 13 - 50

For the general population 90 mg a.i./kg bw/day ÷ 0.001 mg a.i./kg bw/day = 90000
```

#### Aggregate Exposure and Risk

Aggregate exposure risk assessments were performed for acute (food + drinking water), chronic (food + drinking water) and short-term (food + drinking water + residential) aggregate exposure. In the absence of ground and surface water monitoring data to calculate a quantitative aggregate

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 23 of 44

exposure, drinking water levels of comparison (DWLOCs) were calculated. A DWLOC is a theoretical upper limit on a pesticide's concentration in drinking water in light of total aggregate exposure to a pesticide in food and residential uses. A DWLOC will vary depending on the toxic endpoint, drinking water consumption, body weights, and pesticide uses. Different populations will have different DWLOCs. HED uses DWLOCs in the risk assessment process to assess potential concern for exposure associated with pesticides in drinking water. DWLOC values are not regulatory standards for drinking water.

DWLOCs were calculated for acute and chronic exposure to propiconazole in surface and ground water. To calculate the DWLOC for acute exposure relative to an acute toxicity endpoint, the acute dietary food exposure (from DEEM<sup>™</sup>) was subtracted from the aPAD to obtain the acceptable acute exposure to propiconazole in drinking water. To calculate the DWLOC for chronic exposure relative to a chronic toxicity endpoint, the chronic dietary food exposure (from DEEM<sup>™</sup>) was subtracted from the cPAD to obtain the acceptable chronic exposure to propiconazole in drinking water. DWLOCs were calculated using the standard body weights and drinking water consumption figures: 70 kg/2L (adult male and US Population), 60 kg/2L (adult female), and 10 kg/1L (infant and children).

To calculate short-term DWLOCs average dietary exposure from food and residential exposure are both subtracted from the target maximum exposure ( NOAEL/Target MOE) to obtain the allowable average exposure of propiconazole in drinking water.

The aggregate exposure risk assessments (acute, short-term, and chronic) are considered conservative estimates that are unlikely to underestimate risks, because of the following inputs:

1) dietary inputs used conservative Tier I DEEM analyses; 2) maximum application rates and minimum application intervals were used; and 3) conservative SOPs and upper level estimates of exposure were employed.

# Aggregate Acute Risk

The aggregate acute dietary risk estimates include exposure to residues of propiconazole in food and water, and does <u>not</u> include dermal, inhalation or incidental oral exposure. As shown in Table 8, the estimated peak concentration of propiconazole in surface water and the estimated acute concentrations in shallow ground water are considerably lower than the DWLOCs for all population subgroups. Therefore, the acute aggregate risk estimates for adults and children do not exceed HED's level of concern.

Table 8. Acute Aggregate Exposure and Risk Summary						
		Food			DWLOC <sup>1</sup>	EEC in ppb
Acute Exposure	aPAD	Exposure	BW (kg)	Water (L)	in ppb	$GW^2$ $SW^3$

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 24 of 44

0.3	0.005540	70	2	10000		
ĺ		1				
0.3	0.013057	10	1	2900	7	
0.3	0.012848	10	1	2900	7	
				[		
0.3	0.010216	10	1	2900	7	1 1
			-			1 1
0.3	0.006745	10	1	2900	264	1.5
	ļ					
0.3	0.003983	60	2	8900		
						1 .1
0.3	0.003809	70	2	10000	7	1 1
						1
0.3	0.004062	70	2	10000	]	]
0.1	0.003854	60	2	2900	]	
	0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	0.3     0.013057       0.3     0.012848       0.3     0.010216       0.3     0.006745       0.3     0.003983       0.3     0.003809       0.3     0.004062       0.1     0.003854	0.3     0.013057     10       0.3     0.012848     10       0.3     0.010216     10       0.3     0.006745     10       0.3     0.003983     60       0.3     0.003809     70       0.3     0.004062     70       0.1     0.003854     60	0.3       0.013057       10       1         0.3       0.012848       10       1         0.3       0.010216       10       1         0.3       0.006745       10       1         0.3       0.003983       60       2         0.3       0.003809       70       2         0.3       0.004062       70       2         0.1       0.003854       60       2	0.3       0.013057       10       1       2900         0.3       0.012848       10       1       2900         0.3       0.010216       10       1       2900         0.3       0.006745       10       1       2900         0.3       0.003983       60       2       8900         0.3       0.003809       70       2       10000         0.3       0.004062       70       2       10000         0.1       0.003854       60       2       2900	0.3       0.013057       10       1       2900         0.3       0.012848       10       1       2900         0.3       0.010216       10       1       2900         0.3       0.006745       10       1       2900       264         0.3       0.003983       60       2       8900         0.3       0.003809       70       2       10000         0.3       0.004062       70       2       10000

DWLOC( $\mu$ g/L) = [maximum water exposure (mg/kg/day) x body weight (kg)]

[water consumption (L) x 10<sup>-3</sup> mg/µg]

Where Maximum Water Exposure (mg/kg/day) = PAD (mg/kg/day) - Food Exposure from DEEM (mg/kg/day).

Based upon SCI-GROW modeling results.

Based upon PRZM/EXAMS modeling results.

# Aggregate Short-Term Risk

The short-term aggregate risk assessment takes into account average exposures estimates from dietary consumption of propiconazole (food and drinking water) and non-occupational uses (turf). Postapplication exposures from the use on turf is considered short-term. Therefore, a short-term aggregate risk assessment was conducted, using children with combined dermal and oral exposures from the turf use as a worst case. Table 9 summarizes the results. The MOE from food and non-occupational uses is 2400, and the calculated short-term DWLOC is 2600 ppb. Compared to EFED's surface and ground water EECs, the DWLOC is greater, and therefore, short-term aggregate risk does not exceed HED's level of concern.

HED Records Center Series 361 Science Reviews - File R105968 - Page

		Table 9.	Short-Term A	Aggregate Risl	and DWLOC	Calculations			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Sho	rt-Term Scenar	io			
Population	NOAEL mg/kg/day	Max Exposure <sup>1</sup> mg/kg/day	Average Food Exposure mg/kg/day	Residential Exposure <sup>2</sup> mg/kg/day	Aggregate MOE <sup>3</sup>	Max Water Exposure <sup>4</sup> mg/kg/day	Surface Water EEC <sup>5</sup> (ppb)	Ground Water EEC <sup>6</sup> (ppb)	Short- Term DWLOC <sup>7.8</sup> (µg/L)
All Infants <sup>8</sup>	90	0.3	0.003736	0.033	2400	0.263	264	1.50	2600

Maximum Exposure (mg/kg/day) = NOAEL/Target MOE of 300

[water consumption (1 L) x 10<sup>-3</sup> mg/µg]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Residential Exposure = Combined dermal and incidental oral ingestion for infants. Only infants were assessed since the represent a worst case with their higher food exposure plus incidental oral exposure to treated turf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Aggregate MOE = [NOAEL ÷ (Avg Food Exposure + Residential Exposure)]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Maximum Water Exposure (mg/kg/day) = Target Maximum Exposure - (Food Exposure + Residential Exposure)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Using maximum application parameters, calculated using FIRST

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Using maximum application parameters, calculated using SCI-GROW

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> DWLOC(μg/L) = [maximum water exposure (mg/kg/day) x body weight (10 kg)]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Assuming body weight of 10 kg and water consumption of 1L

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 26 of 44

#### Aggregate Chronic Risk

The aggregate chronic risk assessment takes into account average exposure estimates from dietary consumption of propiconazole (food and drinking water) and residential uses. Since the exposure from turf is considered short-term, the aggregate chronic assessment included food and drinking water only. The calculated chronic DWLOCs for chronic exposure to propiconazole in drinking water range from 940 to 3400 µg/L (ppb). EECs generated by FIRST and SCI-GROW are less than calculated chronic DWLOCs (Table 10). Therefore, the aggregate chronic risk associated with the proposed use of propiconazole does not exceed the Agency's level of concern for the general U.S. population or any population subgroup.

					DWLOC1	EEC i	n ppb
Chronic Exposure	PAD	Exposure	BW (kg)	Water (L)	in ppb	$GW^2$	SW
General U.S.	0.1	0.001984	70	2	3400		
Population							
All Infants (< 1 year	0.1	0.003736	10	1	960		
old)							
Children 1-2 years	0.1	0.005877	10	1	940		1
old	 						
Children 3-5 years	0.1	0.004615	10	1	950		
old							1
Children 6-12 years	0.1	0.003007	10	1	970	80	1.5
old						'	
Youth 13-19 years	0.1	0.001728	60	2	2900	ı	
old							
Adults 20-49 years	0.1	0.001478	70	2	3400		
old							
Adults 50+ years old	0.1	0.001494	70	2	3400		
Females 13-49 years	0.1	0.000859	60	2	3000		
old							
$DWLOC(\mu g/L) = \underline{lmaxim}$	um water	exposure (mg/ consumption (	kg/day) x bo	ody weight (kg	3)]		

# VI. Characterization of Inputs/Outputs

All residue values used for estimating dietary exposure and risk (both acute and chronic) were tolerances from 40 CFR § 180.434, with the exception of soybean, the subject of the Section 18 request. Since the available residue data for soybeans do not exceed the existing tolerance for dry beans tolerance from 40 CFR § 180.434, a temporary tolerance of 0.5 ppm is recommended

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 27 of 44

for soybeans. This value is consistent with a previous review of soybean residue data (DP Barcodes D210266 and D210295; previously cited).

Both the acute and chronic dietary risk assessments should be considered unrefined because 1) tolerance level residues were assumed, 2) 100% of all crops were assumed to be treated and 3) DEEM<sup>TM</sup> default concentration factors were assumed for processed commodities.

Residential and occupational risk assessments used default assumptions and are also conservative risk estimates. Finally, aggregate risk was estimated using published procedures and were not refined.

#### VII. Endocrine Disrupter Effects

EPA is required under the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by FQPA, to develop a screening program to determine whether certain substances (including all pesticide active and other ingredients) "may have an effect in humans that is similar to an effect produced by a naturally occurring estrogen, or other such endocrine effects as the Administrator may designate." Following the recommendations of its Endocrine Disrupter Screening and Testing Advisory Committee (EDSTAC), EPA determined that there was scientific basis for including, as part of the program, the androgen and thyroid hormone systems, in addition to the estrogen hormone system. EPA also adopted EDSTAC's recommendation that the Program include evaluations of potential effects in wildlife. For pesticide chemicals, EPA will use FIFRA and, to the extent that effects in wildlife may help determine whether a substance may have an effect in humans, FFDCA authority to require the wildlife evaluations. As the science develops and resources allow, screening of additional hormone systems may be added to the Endocrine Disrupter Screening Program (EDSP).

When the appropriate screening and/or testing protocols being considered under the Agency's EDSP have been developed, propiconazole may be subjected to additional screening and/or testing to better characterize effects related to endocrine disruption.

### VIII. Cumulative Exposure to Substance with a Common Mechanism of Toxicity

The Food Quality Protection Act (1996) stipulates that when determining the safety of a pesticide chemical, EPA shall base its assessment of the risk posed by the chemical on, among other things, available information concerning the cumulative effects to human health that may result from dietary, residential, or other non-occupational exposure to other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity. The reason for consideration of other substances is due to the possibility that low-level exposures to multiple chemical substances that cause a common toxic effect by a common mechanism could lead to the same adverse health effect as would a higher level of exposure to any of the other substances individually. A person exposed to a pesticide at a level that is considered safe may in fact experience harm if that person is also exposed to other

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 28 of 44

substances that cause a common toxic effect by a mechanism common with that of the subject pesticide, even if the individual exposure levels to the other substances are also considered safe.

HED did not perform a cumulative risk assessment as part of this risk assessment for propiconazole because data to determine the extent to which other chemical substances have a mechanism of toxicity common with that of propiconazole are not yet available. For purposes of this Section 18 petition, only parent propiconazole is being considered. The Agency does have concern about potential toxicity to 1,2,4-triazole and two conjugates, triazolylalanine and triazolyl acetic acid, metabolites common to most of the triazole fungicides. When suitable information about the toxicity of these compounds is available, the Agency may revisit the risk issues.

On this basis, the petitioner must submit, upon EPA's request and according to a schedule determined by the Agency, such information as the Agency directs to be submitted in order to evaluate issues related to whether propiconazole shares a common mechanism of toxicity with any other substance and, if so, whether any tolerances for propiconazole need to be modified or revoked. If HED identifies other substances that share a common mechanism of toxicity with propiconazole, HED will perform aggregate exposure assessments on each chemical, and will begin to conduct a cumulative risk assessment.

HED has recently finalized its guidance for conducting cumulative risk assessments on substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity. This guidance will be available from the OPP Website (http://www.epa.gov/pesticides). In the guidance, it is stated that a cumulative risk assessment of substances that cause a common toxic effect by a common mechanism will not be conducted until an aggregate exposure assessment of each substance has been completed.

Before undertaking a cumulative risk assessment, HED will follow procedures for identifying chemicals that have a common mechanism of toxicity as set forth in the *Guidance for Identifying Pesticide Chemicals and Other Substances that Have a Common Mechanism of Toxicity* (64 FR 5795-5796, February 5, 1999).

#### IX. Conclusions

Both the acute and chronic risk assessments used worst-case assumptions to provide a highly protective estimate of exposure and risk. Regarding acute dietary risk, the most highly exposed population group at the 95<sup>th</sup> exposure percentile (All infants) had estimated exposure of 0.013057 mg/kg bw/day, equivalent to 4% of the aPAD. All other groups, including females 13-49 had exposures less than this value. The most highly exposed group relative to chronic dietary exposure was Children 1-2, with an estimated exposure of 0.005877 mg/kg bw/day, or approximately 6% of the cPAD. All other population groups had estimated exposures less than this value. Given the protective assumptions used in the risk assessment, both acute and chronic dietary exposures from the use of propiconazole to control soybean rust as specified in the

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 29 of 44

Section 18 request result in acceptable levels of safety for all population sub-groups.

DWLOC methodology was used to access acute and chronic risks from residues in water via comparison with EECs provided by EFED. This comparison demonstrated that such risks are below the Agency's level of concern. Residential and occupational exposures and risks were lower than the threshold for the Agency to be concerned. An assessment of short-term aggregate risk demonstrates MOEs below the Agency's level of concern.

Given the protective assumptions used in the risk assessment, risks associated with the Section 18 request result in acceptable levels of safety for all population sub-groups.

Based upon these risk assessments, the Section 18 request for the use of propiconazole on soybean is not expected to adversely affect human health. The available data support time-limited tolerances of 0.5 ppm in soybeans, 8 ppm in soybean forage and 25 ppm in soybean hay.

#### X. List of Attachments

Attachment 1 - Acute and Chronic Residue Input file.

- Attachment 2 Results of the Acute Dietary Risk Assessment.
- Attachment 3 Results of the Chronic Dietary Risk Assessment

cc: M Waller, Fungicides Branch, Registration Division (7505C)
M. Doherty, Registration Action Branch 2, Health Effects Division (7509C)

J. R. Tomerlin, Fungicides Branch, Registration Division (7505C)

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 30 of 44

### ATTACHMENT 1 - Residue File Listing for Acute and Chronic Dietary Assessments

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Ver. 1.30

DEEM-FCID Chronic analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

1994-98 data

Residue file: C:\Documents and Settings\btomerli\My
Documents\tzoles\risk\dietary\propi\prop-tol.R98

Analysis Date 02-02-2004

Adjust. #2 NOT used Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Reference dose (RfD) = 0.1 mg/kg bw/day

Comment: Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)

Food Crop	Residue	Adj.F	actors	Comment	
EPA Code Grp Food Name	(ppm)	#1	#2		
12000120 12 Apricot	1.000000	1.000	1.000		
12000121 12 Apricot-babyfood	1.000000	1.000	1.000		
12000130 12 Apricot, dried	1.000000	6.000	1.000		
12000140 12 Apricot, juice	1.000000	1.000	1.000		
12000141 12 Apricot, juice-babyfood	1.000000	1.000	1.000		
95000230 O Banana	0.200000	1.000	1.000		
95000231 O Banana-babyfood	0.200000	1.000	1.000		
95000240 O Banana, dried	0.200000	3.900	1.000		
95000241 O Banana, dried-babyfood	0.200000	3.900	1.000		
15000250 15 Barley, pearled barley	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
15000251 15 Barley, pearled barley-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
15000260 15 Barley, flour	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
15000261 15 Barley, flour-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
15000270 15 Barley, bran	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
06030300 6C Bean, black, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05					
06030320 6C Bean, broad, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05					
06030340 6C Bean, cowpea, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05					
06030350 6C Bean, great northern, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05 06030360 6C Bean, kidney, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05				-	
06030380 6C Bean, lima, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05					
06030390 6C Bean, mung, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05					
06030400 6C Bean, navy, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	2 505000	1 000	1 000	F 10	
06030410 6C Bean, pink, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	0 500000	1 000	1.000	Exp 12	
06030420 6C Bean, pinto, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	ЕХР 12	
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
21000440 M Beef, meat	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
21000441 M Beef, meat-babyfood	0.100000	1.920	1.000		
21000450 M Beef, meat, dried	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
21000460 M Beef, meat byproducts 21000461 M Beef, meat byproducts-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
,	0.100000	1.000	1.000		
21000471 M Beef, fat-babyfood 21000480 M Beef, kidney	2.000000	1.000	1.000		
	2.000000	1.000	1.000		
	2.000000	1.000	1.000		
11000131 1.	5.000000	1.000	1.000		
04020850 4B Celery 04020851 4B Celery-babyfood	5.000000	1.000	1.000		
04020860 4B Celery, juice	5.000000	1.000	1.000		
01020000 10 0010231 30200					

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 31 of 44

	<u>-</u>			•
10000000 10 Gh	1 000000	1 000	1 000	
12000900 12 Cherry 12000901 12 Cherry-babyfood 12000910 12 Cherry, juice	1.000000		1.000	
12000901 12 Cherry-babyfood	1.000000		1.000	
12000910 12 Cherry, juice 12000911 12 Cherry, juice-babyfood	1.000000		1.000	
12000911 12 Cherry, juice-babyfood	1.000000		1.000	
40000930 P Chicken, meat 40000931 P Chicken, meat-babyfood 40000940 P Chicken, liver	0.100000		1.000	
40000931 P Chicken, meat-babyfood	0.100000		1.000	
			1.000	
40000950 P Chicken, meat byproducts	0.100000		1.000	
40000951 P Chicken, meat byproducts-babyfor	0 100000		1.000	
40000960 P Chicken, fat 40000961 P Chicken, fat-babyfood	0.100000 0.100000		1.000	
40000960 P Chicken, fat 40000961 P Chicken, fat-babyfood 40000970 P Chicken, skin	0.100000		1.000	
40000970 P Chicken, skin 40000971 P Chicken, skin-babyfood 06030980 6C Chickpea, seed	0.100000		1.000	
06030980 6C Chickpea, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
06030981 6C Chickpea, seed-babyfood	0 500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	0.300000	1.000	1.000	EXP 12
06030990 6C Chickpea, flour	0.500000	1.000	1 000	Evn 10
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	0.300000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
15001200 15 Corn, field, flour	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15001200 15 Corn, field, flour 15001201 15 Corn, field, flour-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15001201 15 Corn, field, Flour-Rabyrood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15001210 15 Corn, field, meal 15001211 15 Corn, field, meal-babyfood			1.000	
15001211 15 Corn, field, meal-babylood 15001220 15 Corn, field, bran	0.100000		1.000	
15001230 15 Corn, field, Starch	0.100000		1.000	
15001230 15 Corn, field, starch-babyfood			1.000	
15001231 15 Corn, field, staten babyrood 15001240 15 Corn, field, syrup	0.100000		1.000	
15001240 15 Corn, field, syrup 15001241 15 Corn, field, syrup-babyfood 15001250 15 Corn, field, oil	0.100000		1.000	
15001250 15 Corn, field, oil	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15001250 15 Corn field oil-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15001251 15 Corn, field, oil-babyfood 15001270 15 Corn, sweet	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15001270 15 Corn, sweet-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
95001300 O Cranberry	1.000000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	1.000000	1.000	1,000	TIMP IZ
95001301 O Cranberry-babyfood	1.000000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	2.000000	1.000	1.000	Lnp IL
95001310 O Cranberry, dried	1.000000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05	2.00000	21000	2.000	211P 12
95001320 O Cranberry, juice	1.000000	1.000	1.000	Ежр 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05				
95001321 O Cranberry, juice-babyfood	1.000000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05				
70001450 P Egg, whole	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
70001451 P Egg, whole-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
70001460 P Egg, white	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
70001461 P Egg, white (solids)-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
70001470 P Egg, yolk	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
70001471 P Egg, yolk-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
23001690 M Goat, meat	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
23001700 M Goat, meat byproducts	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
23001710 M Goat, fat	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
23001720 M Goat, kidney	2.000000	1.000	1.000	
23001730 M Goat, liver	2.000000	1.000	1.000	
06031820 6C Guar, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05				
06031821 6C Guar, seed-babyfood	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05				
24001890 M Horse, meat	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
06032030 6C Lentil, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	Exp 12
Full comment: Exp 12/31/05			_	
27002220 D Milk, fat	0.050000	1.000	1.000	
27002221 D Milk, fat - baby food/infant for	0.050000	1.000	1.000	

# Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 32 of 44

27012230 D	•				
	07010000 5	wilk perfet golide	0.050000	1.000	1.000
1.000		wilk norfat coliderbaby food/in			
27032251		Milk water-habyfood/infant form			
95002280 O Mushroom		Milk, water buby100d, milk sugar (lactose) -baby food/			1.000
1.000200				1.000	1.000
1500230   15				1.000	1.000
15002320   15			0.100000	1.000	1.000
15002321   15			0.100000	1.000	1.000
15002330 15		Oat. flour-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000
15002331   15		Oat, groats/rolled oats	0.100000		
12002600 12   Peach   1.000000   1.0		Oat, groats/rolled oats-babyfood	0.100000		
12002601 12   Peach, dried		=			
12002610 12   Peach, dried					
12002611 12   Peach, dried-babyfood		Peach, dried			
1.000202   12   Peach, juice-babyfood					
12002821   2 Pearly   1000   1.000	12002620 12	Peach, juice			
95002630 0 Peanut, butter 0.200000 1.000 1.000 95002650 0 Peanut, oil 0.200000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002750 0 Peanut, oil 0.200000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002750 0 Pennesple 0.1000000 1.000 1.000 95002790 0 Pineapple 0.100000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002790 0 Pineapple, dried 0.100000 1.000 1.000 95002800 0 Pineapple, dried 0.100000 1.000 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.100000 1.700 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.100000 1.000 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.100000 1.000 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.100000 1.000 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.200000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002830 0 Plantain 0.200000 1.	12002621 12	Peach, juice-babyfood			
95002500 0 Peanut, oil 0.200000 1.00					
95002550 0 Peppermint 0.300000 1.000 1.000 95002750 0 Peppermint 0.300000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002750 0 Pineapple 0.100000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002790 0 Pineapple-babyfood 0.100000 1.000 1.000 95002800 0 Pineapple, dried 0.100000 1.700 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.100000 1.700 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice-babyfood 0.200000 1.700 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice-babyfood 0.200000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.200000 1.					
14002990 14   Pecan					
95002790 O Pineapple					
95002790 O Pineapple-babyfood 0.100000 1.000 1.000 95002800 O Pineapple, dried 0.100000 5.000 1.000 95002810 O Pineapple, juice 0.100000 1.700 1.000 95002811 O Pineapple, juice-babyfood 0.100000 1.700 1.000 95002830 O Plantain 0.200000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002840 O Plantain, dried 0.200000 1.00					
95002800 0 Pineapple, dried 0.100000 5.000 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice 0.100000 1.700 1.000 95002810 0 Pineapple, juice-babyfood 0.200000 1.000 1.000 1.000 95002830 0 Plantain, dried 0.200000 1.000		Pineapple			
950028010 O Pineapple, juice					
95002810 0 Pineapple, Juice-babyfood 0.100000 1.700 1.000 95002830 0 Plantain 0.200000 1.0	• • •	Pineapple, dried			
95002810 0 Plantain 0.200000 1.000 1.000 95002840 0 Plantain, dried 0.200000 3.900 1.000 1.000 12002850 12 Plum 1.0000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 12002851 12 Plum-babyfood 1.0000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 12002861 12 Plum, prune, fresh 1.000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 12002861 12 Plum, prune, fresh-babyfood 1.000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 12002861 12 Plum, prune, dried 1.000000 5.000 1.000 1.000 12002870 12 Plum, prune, dried 1.000000 5.000 1.000 12002871 12 Plum, prune, dried 1.000000 5.000 1.000 12002881 12 Plum, prune, juice 1.000000 1.400 1.000 12002881 12 Plum, prune, juice 1.000000 1.400 1.0	•	Pineappie, juice	-		1.000
95002840 O Plantain, dried					
1.000   1.00				3.900	1.000
12002851 12   Plum-babyfood   1.000				1.000	1.000
12002860   12			1.000000	1.000	
12002861 12			1.000000	1.000	1.000
12002870   12   Plum, prune, dried   1.000000   5.000   1.00		Plum, prune, fresh-babyfood	1.000000		
12002871 12   Plum, prune, dried-babyfood   1.000000   1.400   1.000		Plum, prune, dried	1.000000		
12002880 12   Plum, prune, juice		Plum, prune, dried-babyfood			
12002881   12   Plum, prune, juice-babyfood   1.000000   1.000   1.000   25002900   M   Pork, meat   0.100000   1.000   1.000   1.000   1.000   25002910   M   Pork, skin   0.100000   1.000		Plum, prune, juice			
25002900 M Pork, meat 25002911 M Pork, meat-babyfood 25002910 M Pork, meat byproducts 25002920 M Pork, meat byproducts 25002921 M Pork, meat byproducts 25002930 M Pork, meat byproducts-babyfood 25002931 M Pork, fat 25002931 M Pork, fat 25002931 M Pork, fat-babyfood 25002931 M Pork, fat-babyfood 25002930 M Pork, fat 25002930 M Pork, fat 25002931 M Pork, fat-babyfood 25002930 M Pork, liver 25002000 1.000 25002931 M Pork, fat 25002950 M Pork, liver 25002000 1.000 25002950 M Pork, liver 25000000 1.000 25002950 M Pork, fat 25002950 M Pork, f		Plum, prune, juice-babyfood			
25002910 M Pork, Meat-DabyTood 25002910 M Pork, skin 25002920 M Pork, meat byproducts 25002921 M Pork, meat byproducts-babyfood 25002921 M Pork, meat byproducts-babyfood 25002931 M Pork, fat 25002931 M Pork, fat-babyfood 25002940 M Pork, kidney 25002950 M Pork, liver 25002950 M Poultry, other, meat 25002000 1.000 1		Pork, meat			
25002910 M Pork, meat byproducts	25002901 M				
25002921 M Pork, meat byproducts—babyfood 0.100000 1.000 1.000 25002930 M Pork, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 1.000 25002931 M Pork, fat—babyfood 0.100000 1.000 1.000 1.000 25002940 M Pork, kidney 2.000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 25002950 M Pork, liver 2.000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 60003010 P Poultry, other, meat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003010 P Poultry, other, liver 0.200000 1.000 1.000 60003010 P Poultry, other, meat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003010 P Poultry, other, meat byproducts 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003030 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 1.000 60003050 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.0		Pork, skin			
25002921 M Pork, meat Byproducts—Babyrood 0.100000 1.000 1.000 25002931 M Pork, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 1.000 25002940 M Pork, kidney 2.000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 25002950 M Pork, liver 2.000000 1.000 1.000 1.000 6003010 P Poultry, other, meat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 6003020 P Poultry, other, meat byproducts 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003040 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003040 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 1.000 60003040 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.0		Pork, meat byproducts			
25002930 M Pork, fat				_	
25002940 M Pork, kidney 2.000000 1.000 1.000 25002950 M Pork, liver 2.000000 1.000 1.000 60003010 P Poultry, other, meat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003020 P Poultry, other, liver 0.200000 1.000 1.000 60003040 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003040 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003050 P Poultry, other, skin 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003230 15 Rice, white 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003231 15 Rice, white 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003240 15 Rice, white 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003241 15 Rice, brown 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003250 15 Rice, brown-babyfood 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003251 15 Rice, flour 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003260 15 Rice, bran 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003261 15 Rice, bran 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003280 15 Rye, grain 0.100000 1.000 1.000					
25002950 M Pork, liver		Pork, fat-babylood			
25002930 M Polk, liver 60003010 P Poultry, other, meat 60003020 P Poultry, other, liver 60003020 P Poultry, other, meat byproducts 60003030 P Poultry, other, meat byproducts 60003040 P Poultry, other, fat 60003040 P Poultry, other, skin 60003050 P Poultry, other, fat 60003050 P Poultry, other, meat byproducts 6000000					1.000
60003020 P Poultry, other, liver 0.200000 1.000 1.000 60003030 P Poultry, other, meat byproducts 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003040 P Poultry, other, fat 0.100000 1.000 1.000 60003050 P Poultry, other, skin 0.100000 1.000		Poultry other meat			1.000
60003030 P         Poultry, other, meat byproducts         0.100000         1.000           60003040 P         Poultry, other, fat         0.100000         1.000           60003050 P         Poultry, other, skin         0.100000         1.000           15003230 15 Rice, white         0.100000         1.000         1.000           15003231 15 Rice, white-babyfood         0.100000         1.000         1.000           15003240 15 Rice, brown         0.100000         1.000         1.000           15003250 15 Rice, flour         0.100000         1.000         1.000           15003251 15 Rice, flour-babyfood         0.100000         1.000         1.000           15003260 15 Rice, bran         0.100000         1.000         1.000           15003280 15 Rye, grain         0.100000         1.000         1.000		Poultry other liver		1.000	1.000
60003040 P         Poultry, other, fat         0.100000         1.000           60003050 P         Poultry, other, skin         0.100000         1.000           15003230 15         Rice, white         0.100000         1.000           15003231 15         Rice, white-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003240 15         Rice, brown         0.100000         1.000           15003241 15         Rice, brown-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003250 15         Rice, flour         0.100000         1.000           15003251 15         Rice, flour-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003260 15         Rice, bran         0.100000         1.000           15003281 15         Rice, bran-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003280 15         Rye, grain         0.100000         1.000		Poultry other meat byproducts	0.100000	1.000	1.000
60003050 P         Poultry, other, skin         0.100000         1.000           15003230 15         Rice, white         0.100000         1.000           15003231 15         Rice, white-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003240 15         Rice, brown         0.100000         1.000           15003241 15         Rice, brown-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003250 15         Rice, flour         0.100000         1.000           15003251 15         Rice, flour-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003260 15         Rice, bran         0.100000         1.000           15003261 15         Rice, bran-babyfood         0.100000         1.000           15003280 15         Rye, grain         0.100000         1.000		positive other. fat	0.100000	1.000	1.000
15003230 15 Rice, white 15003231 15 Rice, white-babyfood 15003240 15 Rice, brown 15003241 15 Rice, brown-babyfood 15003250 15 Rice, flour 15003251 15 Rice, flour-babyfood 15003260 15 Rice, bran 15003261 15 Rice, bran-babyfood 15003280 15 Rye, grain 15000000 1.000		Poultry, other, skin	0.100000		
15003231 15 Rice, white-babyfood 0.100000 1.000		Rice, white			
15003240 15 Rice, brown		Rice, white-babyfood			
15003241 15 Rice, brown-babyfood 0.100000 1.000	15003240 15	Rice, brown			
15003250 15 Rice, flour 0.100000 1.0	15003241 15	Rice, brown-babyfood			
15003251 15 Rice, flour-babyfood 0.100000 1.000		Rice, flour			
15003260 15 Rice, bran					
15003261 15 Rice, bran-babyfood 0.100000 1.000 1.000 15003280 15 Rye, grain 0.100000 1.000 1.000	15003260 15	Rice, bran			
15003280 15 Rye, grain 0 100000 1 000 1 000	15003261 15	Rice, bran-babytood			
15003290 L5 Rye, riour	15003280 15	Rye, grain			
	15003290 15	Rye, Ilour			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 33 of 44

26003390 M	Sheep, meat	0.100000	1,000	1.000	
26003391 M	± '	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
26003400 M	Sheep, meat byproducts	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
26003410 M		0.100000	1.000	1.000	
26003411 M	Sheep, fat-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
26003420 M	Sheep, kidney	2.000000	1.000	1.000	
26003430 M	Sheep, liver	2.000000	1.000	1.000	
15003440 1	5 Sorghum, grain	0.200000	1.000	1.000	Exp 6/
Full com	ment: Exp 6/30/05				
15003450 1	5 Sorghum, syrup	0.200000	1.000	1.000	Exp 6/
Full com	ment: Exp 6/30/05				
06003470 6	Soybean, seed	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
06003480 6	Soybean, flour	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
06003481 6	Soybean, flour-babyfood	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
06003490 6	Soybean, soy milk	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
06003491 6	Soybean, soy milk-babyfood or in	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
06003500 6	Soybean, oil	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
06003501 6	Soybean, oil-babyfood	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
50003820 P	Turkey, meat	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
50003821 P	Turkey, meat-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
50003830 P	Turkey, liver	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
50003831 P	Turkey, liver-babyfood	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
50003840 P	Turkey, meat byproducts	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
50003841 P	Turkey, meat byproducts-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
50003850 P	Turkey, fat	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
50003851 P	Turkey, fat-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
50003860 P	Turkey, skin	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
50003861 P	Turkey, skin-babyfood	0.100000	1,000	1.000	
15004010 15	Wheat, grain	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15004011 15	Wheat, grain-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15004020 15	Wheat, flour	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15004021 15	Wheat, flour-babyfood	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15004030 15	Wheat, germ	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15004040 15	Wheat, bran	0.100000	1.000	1.000	
15004050 15	Wild rice	0.500000	1.000	1.000	

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 34 of 44

# ATTACHMENT 2 - Acute Dietary Risk Assessment

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

Residue file: prop-tol.R98

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01

Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Pop Adjusted Dose (aPAD) varies with population; see individual reports

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Summary calculations (per capita):

	95th Percentile		99th Perc	entile	99.9th Percentile	
	Exposure	% aPAD	Exposure	% aPAD	Exposure	% aPAD
U.S. Population:						
	0.005540	1.85	0.010327	3.44	0.021349	7.12
All infants (<1 yr	old):					
	0.013057	4.35	0.019229	6.41	0.030359	10.128
Children 1-2 yrs:						
	0.012848	4.28	0.023539	7.85	0.053830	17.94
Children 3-5 yrs:						
	0.010216	3.41	0.017398	5.80	0.030156	10.05
Children 6-12 yrs:	0.005745	0.05	0.011610	2 07	0 007000	0.00
	0.006745	2.25	0.011618	3.87	0.027832	9.28
Youth 13-19 yrs:	0 000000	1 22	0.007363	0.45	0.013799	4.60
- ) 1. 00 10	0.003983	1.33	0.007363	2.45	0.013/99	4.60
Adults 20-49 yrs:	0.002000	1.27	0.006201	2.07	0.011602	3.87
7177 501	0.003809	1.27	0.006201	2.07	0.011602	3.01
Adults 50+ yrs:	0.004062	1.35	0.007085	2.36	0.013518	4.51
E12 10	0.004062	1.33	0.007085	2.30	0.015510	4.01
Females 13-49 yrs:	0.003854	3.85	0.006261	6.26	0.010792	10.79
	0.003854	3.00	0.006261	0.20	0.010/92	10.79

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 35 of 44

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Ver. 1.33

DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

(1994-98 data)

Residue file: prop-tol.R98

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used. Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

U.S. Population	Daily Exposure Analysis (mg/kg body-weight/day)		
	per Capita	per User	
Mean Standard Deviation Standard Error of mean Percent of aRfD	0.001984 0.002142 0.000011 0.66	0.001989 0.002142 0.000011 0.66	

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days ≈ 99.74%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
~					
10.00	0.000531	0.18	90.00	0.004073	1.36
20.00	0.000730	0.24	95.00	0.005546	1.85
30.00	0.000917	0.31	97.50	0.007281	2.43
40.00	0.001117	0.37	99.00	0.010339	3.45
50.00	0.001349	0.45	99.50	0.013130	4.38
60.00	0.001648	0.55	99.75	0.016571	5.52
70.00	0.002098	0.70	99.90	0.021361	7.12
80.00	0.002791	0.93			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000526	0.18	90.00	0.004068	1.36
20.00	0.000726	0.24	95.00	0.005540	1.85
30.00	0.000913	0.30	97.50	0.007273	2.42
40.00	0.001114	0.37	99.00	0.010327	3.44
50.00	0.001346	0.45	99.50	0.013117	4.37
60.00	0.001645	0.55	99.75	0.016566	5.52
70.00	0.002094	0.70	99.90	0.021349	7.12
80.00	0.002787	0.93			

a/ Analysis based on all two-day participant records in CSFII 1994-98 survey.

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 36 of 44

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

Ver. 1.33

(1994-98 data)

Residue file: prop-tol.R98

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used. Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Nursing infants (<1 yr old)	(mg/kg body- per Capita	weight/day)
Mean	0.001779	0.002885
Standard Deviation	0.003306	0.003812
Standard Error of mean	0.000114	0.000163
Percent of aRfD	0.59	0.96

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 61.64%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000202	0.07	90.00	0.007657	2.55
20.00	0.000406	0.14	95.00	0.012229	4.08
30.00	0.000642	0.21	97.50	0.015080	5.03
40.00	0.000960	0.32	99.00	0.017405	5.80
50.00	0.001265	0.42	99.50	0.018484	6.16
60.00	0.001963	0.65	99.75	0.019293	6.43
70.00	0.002980	0.99	99.90	0.023100	7.70
80.00	0.004437	1.48			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000000	0.00	90.00	0.005461	1.82
20.00	0.000000	0.00	95.00	0.008952	2.98
30.00	0.000000	0.00	97,50	0.013081	4.36
40.00	0.000052	0.02	99.00	0.016824	5.61
50.00	0.000373	0.12	99.50	0.017462	5.82
60.00	0.000799	0.27	99.75	0.018549	6.18
70.00	0.001348	0.45	99.90	0.020034	6.68
80.00	0.002758	0.92			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 37 of 44

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ver. 1.33
DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE (1994-98 data)
Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Children 1-2 yrs	Daily Exposure Analysis (mg/kg body-weight/day)		
	per Capita		
Mean	0.005877	0.005878	
Standard Deviation	0.004734	0.004733	
Standard Error of mean	0.000073	0.000073	
Percent of aRfD	1.96	1.96	

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 99.98%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.002368	0.79	90.00	0.010138	3.38
20.00	0.003075	1.02	95.00	0.012849	4.28
30.00	0.003673	1.22	97.50	0.016852	5.62
40.00	0.004231	1.41	99.00	0.023540	7.85
50.00	0.004796	1.60	99.50	0.031957	10.65
60.00	0.005450	1.82	99.75	0.047534	15.84
70.00	0.006378	2.13	99.90	0.053830	17.94
80.00	0.007636	2.55			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
					<del></del>
10.00	0.002366	0.79	90.00	0.010138	3.38
20.00	0.003073	1.02	95.00	0.012848	4.28
30.00	0.003673	1.22	97.50	0.016840	5.61
40.00	0.004231	1.41	99.00	0.023539	7.85
50.00	0.004796	1.60	99.50	0.031956	10.65
60.00	0.005450	1.82	99.75	0.047533	15.84
70.00	0.006377	2.13	99.90	0.053830	17.94
80.00	0.007635	2.55			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 38 of 44

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE Ver. 1.33

(1994-98 data)

Residue file: prop-tol.R98

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used. Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Children 3-5 yrs	Daily Exposure Analysis			
44 40 50 00 PM 40 00 00 00 00 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	(mg/kg body- per Capita	-		
Mean	0.004615	0.004616		
Standard Deviation	0.003169	0.003169		
Standard Error of mean	0.000034	0.000034		
Percent of aRfD	1.54	1.54		

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 99.98%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.002091	0.70	90.00	0.007747	2.58
20.00	0.002587	0.86	95.00	0.010217	3.41
30.00	0.002967	0.99	97.50	0.013260	4.42
40.00	0.003412	1.14	99.00	0.017400	5.80
50.00	0.003826	1.28	99.50	0.021383	7.13
60.00	0.004310	1.44	99.75	0.027171	9.06
70.00	0.004999	1.67	99.90	0.030156	10.05
80 00	0.005887	1.96			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
					m m m m h - m m
10.00	0.002090	0.70	90.00	0.007746	2.58
20.00	0.002586	0.86	95.00	0.010216	3.41
30.00	0.002966	0.99	97.50	0.013258	4.42
40.00	0.003411	1.14	99.00	0.017398	5.80
50.00	0.003826	1.28	99.50	0.021383	7.13
60.00	0.004310	1.44	99.75	0.027171	9.06
70.00	0.004998	1.67	99.90	0.030156	10.05
80.00	0.005887	1.96			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 39 of 44

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

Ver. 1.33 (1994-98 data)

Residue file: prop-tol.R98

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Children 6-12 yrs	Daily Exposure Analysis (mg/kg body-weight/day)		
	per Capita	per User	
Mean	0.003007	0.003007	
Standard Deviation	0.002319	0.002319	
Standard Error of mean	0.000036	0.000036	
Percent of aRfD	1.00	1.00	

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 100.00%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
			. ~		
10.00	0.001181	0.39	90.00	0.005171	1.72
20.00	0.001550	0.52	95.00	0.006745	2.25
30.00	0.001850	0.62	97.50	0.008937	2.98
40.00	0.002148	0.72	99.00	0.011618	3.87
50.00	0.002481	0.83	99.50	0.015866	5.29
60.00	0.002814	0.94	99.75	0.017474	5.82
70.00	0.003270	1.09	99.90	0.027832	9.28
80.00	0.003942	1.31			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.001181	0.39	90.00	0.005171	1.72
20.00	0.001550	0.52	95.00	0.006745	2.25
30,00	0.001850	0.62	97.50	0.008937	2.98
40.00	0.002148	0.72	99.00	0.011618	3.87
50,00	0.002481	0.83	99.50	0.015866	5.29
60.00	0.002814	0.94	99.75	0.017474	5.82
70.00	0.003270	1.09	99.90	0.027832	9.28
80 00	0.003942	1 31			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 40 of 44

Ver. 1.33

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

(1994-98 data) Adjustment factor #2 NOT used. Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Youth 13-19 yrs Daily Exposure Analysis (mg/kg body-weight/day) per Capita per User 
 Mean
 0.001728
 0.001730

 Standard Deviation
 0.001351
 0.001350

 Standard Error of mean
 0.000027
 0.000027

 Percent of aRfD
 0.58
 0.58

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 99.90%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000621	0.21	90.00	0.003175	1.06
20.00	0.000833	0.28	95.00	0.003984	1.33
30.00	0.001021	0.34	97.50	0.005089	1.70
40.00	0.001201	0.40	99.00	0.007365	2.45
50.00	0.001397	0.47	99.50	0.008583	2.86
60.00	0.001617	0.54	99.75	0.010921	3.64
70.00	0.001924	0.64	99.90	0.013799	4.60
80.00	0.002306	0.77			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000619	0.21	90.00	0.003175	1.06
20.00	0.000831	0.28	95.00	0.003983	1.33
30.00	0.001020	0.34	97.50	0.005088	1.70
40.00	0.001200	0.40	99.00	0.007363	2.45
50.00	0.001396	0.47	99.50	0.008582	2.86
60.00	0.001616	0.54	99.75	0.010921	3.64
70.00	0.001923	0.64	99.90	0.013799	4.60
80.00	0.002305	0,77			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

Page: 41 of 44

DP Barcode: 262299

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Ver. 1.33

DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

(1994-98 data)

Residue file: prop-tol.R98

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used. Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Adults 20-49 yrs Daily Exposure Analysis (mg/kg body-weight/day) per Capita per User 0.001478 0.001481 0.001296 0.001296 Standard Deviation Standard Error of mean 0.000013 0.000013 Percent of aRfD 0.49 0.49

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 99.82%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000494	0.16	90.00	0.002877	0.96
20.00	0.000663	0.22	95.00	0.003811	1.27
30.00	0.000810	0.27	97.50	0.004768	1.59
40.00	0.000962	0.32	99.00	0.006203	2.07
50.00	0.001135	0.38	99.50	0.007607	2.54
60.00	0.001319	0.44	99.75	0.009012	3.00
70.00	0.001577	0.53	99.90	0.011603	3.87
80.00	0.001999	0.67			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000491	0.16	90.00	0.002875	0.96
20.00	0.000660	0.22	95.00	0.003809	1.27
30.00	0.000808	0.27	97.50	0.004767	1.59
40.00	0.000960	0.32	99.00	0.006201	2.07
50.00	0.001134	0.38	99.50	0.007606	2.54
60.00	0.001317	0.44	99.75	0.009009	3.00
70.00	0.001575	0.53	99.90	0.011602	3.87
80.00	0.001997	0.67			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299 Page: 42 of 44

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ver. 1.33
DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE (1994-98 data)
Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.
Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8
Acute Reference Dose (aRfD) = 0.300000 mg/kg body-wt/day
Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.
Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Adults 50+ yrs	Daily Exposure Analysis (mg/kg body-weight/day)		
	per Capita	per User	
Mean	0.001495	0.001495	
Standard Deviation	0.001415	0.001415	
Standard Error of mean	0.000015	0.000015	
Percent of aRfD	0.50	0.50	

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 99.96%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000447	0.15	90.00	0.002993	1.00
20.00	0.000613	0.20	95.00	0.004062	1,35
30.00	0.000758	0.25	97.50	0.005180	1.73
40.00	0.000909	0.30	99.00	0.007085	2.36
50.00	0.001079	0.36	99.50	0.008464	2.82
60.00	0.001292	0.43	99.75	0.010498	3.50
70.00	0.001580	0.53	99.90	0.013519	4.51
80.00	0.002048	0.68			

Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD	Percentile	Exposure	% aRfD
10.00	0.000446	0.15	90.00	0.002993	1.00
20.00	0.000613	0.20	95.00	0.004062	1.35
30.00	0.000757	0.25	97.50	0.005179	1.73
40.00	0.000908	0.30	99.00	0.007085	2.36
50.00	0.001079	0.36	99.50	0.008464	2.82
60.00	0.001292	0.43	99.75	0.010497	3.50
70.00	0.001579	0.53	99.90	0.013518	4.51
80.00	0.002048	0.68			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 43 of 44

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Ver. 1.33

DEEM-FCID ACUTE Analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

(1994-98 data)

Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Residue file: prop-tol.R98 Adjustment factor #2 NOT used. Analysis Date: 02-02-2004/13:33:01 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Acute Pop Adjusted Dose (aPAD) = 0.100000 mg/kg body-wt/day

Daily totals for food and foodform consumption used.

Run Comment: "Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females)"

Daily Exposure Analysis Females 13-49 yrs \_\_\_\_\_ (mg/kg body-weight/day) per Capita per User 0.001437 0.001441 0.001256 0.001256 Standard Deviation Standard Error of mean 0.000016 0.000016 Percent of aPAD 1.44

Percent of Person-Days that are User-Days = 99.74%

Estimated percentile of user-days falling below calculated exposure in mg/kg body-wt/day with Percent of aPAD

Percentile	Exposure	% aPAD	Percentile	Exposure	% aPAD
					~ <b>-</b>
10.00	0.000464	0.46	90.00	0.002831	2.83
20.00	0.000621	0.62	95.00	0.003859	3.86
30.00	0.000767	0.77	97.50	0.004792	4.79
40.00	0.000916	0.92	99.00	0.006263	6.26
50.00	0.001084	1.08	99.50	0.007633	7.63
60.00	0.001270	1.27	99.75	0.008872	8.87
70.00	0.001547	1.55	99.90	0.010794	10.79
80.00	0.001953	1.95			

Percentile	Exposure	% aPAD	Percentile	Exposure	% aPAD
	<del>-</del>	<del></del> -			
10.00	0.000459	0.46	90.00	0.002827	2.83
20.00	0.000619	0.62	95.00	0.003854	3.85
30.00	0.000765	0.77	97.50	0.004791	4.79
40.00	0.000913	0.91	99.00	0.006261	6.26
50.00	0.001082	1.08	99.50	0.007632	7.63
60.00	0.001267	1.27	99.75	0.008871	8.87
70.00	0.001545	1.54	99.90	0.010792	10.79
80.00	0.001951	1.95			

Human Exposure and Risk Assessment

DP Barcode: 262299

Page: 44 of 44

# ATTACHMENT 3 - Chronic Dietary Risk Assessment

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

DEEM-FCID Chronic analysis for PROPICONAZOLE

Ver. 1.30

(1994-98 data)

Residue file name: C:\Documents and Settings\btomerli\My

Documents\tzoles\risk\dietary\propi\prop-tol.R98

Adjustment factor #2 NOT used.

Analysis Date 02-02-2004/13:21:21 Residue file dated: 02-02-2004/13:18:51/8 Reference dose (RfD, Chronic) = .1 mg/kg bw/day

COMMENT 1: Acute for general popn - 0.3 (0.1 is for females) 

Total exposure by population subgroup

Total Exposure

	Total	Total Exposure		
Population Subgroup	body wt/day	Percent of Rfd		
U.S. Population (total)	0.001984	2.0%		
U.S. Population (spring season)	0.001976	2.0%		
U.S. Population (summer season)	0.002048	2.0%		
U.S. Population (autumn season)	0.001955	2.0%		
U.S. Population (winter season)	0.001956	2.0%		
Northeast region	0.001999	2.0%		
Midwest region	0.002054	2.1%		
Southern region	0.001802	1.8%		
Western region	0.002185	2.2%		
Hispanics	0.002353	2.4%		
Non-hispanic whites	0.001930	1.9%		
Non-hispanic blacks	0.001874	1.9%		
Non-hisp/non-white/non-black	0.002307	2.3%		
All infants (< 1 year)	0.003736	3.7%		
Nursing infants	0.001779	1.8%		
Non-nursing infants	0.004479	4.5%		
Children 1-6 yrs	0.004922	4.9%		
Children 7-12 yrs	0.002841	2.8%		
Females 13-19 (not preg or nursing)	0.001554	1.6%		
Females 20+ (not preg or nursing)	0.001420	1.4%		
Females 13-50 yrs	0.001584	1.6%		
Females 13+ (preg/not nursing)	0.001766	1.8%		
Females 13+ (nursing)	0.001704	1.7%		
Males 13-19 yrs	0.001895	1.9%		
Males 20+ yrs	0.001544	1.5%		
Seniors 55+	0.001512	1.5%		
Children 1-2 yrs	0.005877	5.9%		
Children 3-5 yrs	0.004615	4.6%		
Children 6-12 yrs	0.003007	3.0%		
Youth 13-19 yrs	0.001728	1.7%		
Adults 20-49 yrs	0.001478	1.5%		
Adults 50+ yrs	0.001494	1.5%		
Females 13-49 yrs	0.001437	1.4%		



# R105968

Chemical:

Propiconazole

PC Code:

122101

**HED File Code** 

51200 RD Risk Reviews

Memo Date:

04/14/2004

File ID:

DPD296299

Accession Number:

412-05-0094

HED Records Reference Center 04/12/2005